

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI  
EASTERN DIVISION**

ISAIAS LOZA,

Petitioner,

v.

DAVE DORMIRE,

Respondent.

)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)

No. 4:10-CV-2322 CAS

**MEMORANDUM AND ORDER**

This matter is before the Court on petitioner's petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The petition is successive and shall be summarily dismissed.

Rule 4 of the Rules Governing § 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts provides that a district court shall summarily dismiss a § 2254 petition if it plainly appears that the petitioner is not entitled to relief.

Petitioner was convicted of second-degree murder, armed criminal action and ethnic intimidation and sentenced by the state court in 1994. Petitioner sought state and federal habeas relief after the conviction. See Loza v. Kemna, 4:97CV1908 LOD (E.D. Mo. 1999). The denial of petitioner's federal habeas petition was affirmed by the United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit on September 12, 2000. Loza v. Kemna, No. 99-2545 (8th Cir. 2000).

To the extent that petitioner seeks to relitigate claims that he brought in his original petition, those claims must be denied pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(1). To the extent that petitioner seeks to bring new claims for habeas relief, petitioner must obtain leave from the United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit before he can bring those claims in this Court. 28 U.S.C. §

2244(b)(3)(A). Petitioner has not been granted leave to file a successive habeas petition in this Court.

As a result, the petition shall be dismissed.

Accordingly,

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that petitioner's petition for writ of habeas corpus is  
**DISMISSED.**

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Charles A. Shaw", written in black ink.

---

**CHARLES A. SHAW**  
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**

Dated this 24th day of March, 2011.